

| | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/007,084 | GERGIC ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | WILLIAM L. BASHORE | 2175 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) WILLIAM L. BASHORE (USPTO) (571) 272-4088.

(3) _____

(2) Nathaniel Wallace (sp).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 September 2008

Time: afternoon

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection..

Claims discussed:

Independent claim 13

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Possible 35 U.S.C 101 rejection exists for claim 13, as being possibly directed to software only per se. The examiner agrees that the Examiner's amendment (attached) serves to overcome this possible rejection.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/WILLIAM L. BASHORE/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)